

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/699,058             | ANDERSSON ET AL.    |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Ernest G. Therkorn     | 1723                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Royal N. Ronning, Jr.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**


None

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected inventions to place the case in condition for allowance. Royal N. Ronning, Jr. then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)